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## Survey Tables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Table 1</td>
<td>Attitudes and Perspectives of Principals, Teachers, and School Site Councils</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ABOUT STRATEGIC SCHOOL FUNDING FOR RESULTS (SSFR)

What is the purpose of SSFR?
During the 2009–10 school year, American Institutes for Research (AIR) and Pivot Learning Partners (PLP) formed a partnership with two large California school districts—Los Angeles Unified School District and Twin Rivers Unified School District—to implement and evaluate the impact of a comprehensive approach to local school finance and governance reform that creates the conditions for improved human resource management and a more equitable distribution of both resources and student learning opportunities. The Strategic School Funding for Results project (SSFR) was designed to (1) develop and implement more equitable strategies for allocating resources within each district; (2) make budget and resource allocation decisions more transparent; (3) link those strategies to policies and processes designed to encourage autonomy, innovation, and efficiency; and (4) strengthen accountability for improving student outcomes.

What policies underlie SSFR?
The core reform strategy offered by SSFR includes four basic elements: equity, autonomy linked to accountability, transparency, and a culture of innovation and efficiency.

1. SSFR achieves equity by implementing a student need-based funding model, and by developing and implementing policies, processes, and tools (the Targeted Revenue Model, or TRM) that support allocating dollars, rather than staff, to schools based on the needs of the specific students they serve (e.g., low-income students or English language learners).

2. SSFR links school autonomy to accountability by offering schools discretion over how they use the dollars they receive and holding schools accountable for the results (student outcomes). SSFR includes a site budgeting tool (the Planning, Budgeting, and Allocation of Resources tool, or PBAR) that engages school decision makers in a series of activities that includes a needs assessment, goal setting, and the specification of instructional strategies and resource allocation necessary to achieve the goals with available revenues.

3. SSFR promotes increased transparency by simplifying and clarifying the processes by which resources are allocated to schools, increasing the participation of a wide range of stakeholders in the design of these processes, improving stakeholder access to information about the patterns of resource allocation and student outcomes within the revenue allocation and site budgeting tools, and simplifying the structures that support resource allocation decisions.

4. SSFR promotes a culture of innovation and efficiency. As these strategies are successfully implemented, SSFR encourages a culture of school innovation to improve performance and attract students and families; provides a structured, site-based budgeting tool in the context of a fixed revenue constraint; and encourages school leaders to operate efficiently to produce the best possible results.

What were the benefits of participation in the SSFR project?
Within the framework of the SSFR project, the AIR/PLP team provided the districts with data tools and analysis, technical assistance, coaching, and training to implement the funding strategies and evaluate their success. While common themes were promoted across the two
participating districts, each adopted its own focus and is now adapting the SSFR components to fit its unique culture and context. Each of the participating districts committed time on the part of its leadership and staff to participate effectively in this project and acknowledged that the project was a collaborative effort between the AIR/PLP and district leadership teams. The formative nature of the project allowed for a mutual learning experience among the participating districts and the AIR/PLP team and the creation of a strong partnership in successfully implementing SSFR. The SSFR project has resulted in a series of reports and guidebooks that describe the implementation of SSFR, as well as the changes in patterns of resource allocation and student outcomes that coincided with the efforts of the AIR/PLP team to implement SSFR in the two districts. For more information, see the SSFR website at www.schoolfundingforresults.org.

**How was SSFR funded?**

During the 2009–10 school year, the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation and the Ford Foundation provided grants to the AIR/PLP team to support the first phase of the SSFR work. August 1, 2010, marked the beginning of Phase II of the project, when the Institute of Education Sciences (IES) in the U.S. Department of Education awarded a grant of $1.67 million to the AIR/PLP team to support the development of the SSFR model for three more years. The Hewlett Foundation awarded an additional three-year grant of $1.5 million to the AIR/PLP team to extend its support of the project over the same three-year period. The Ford Foundation also contributed $200,000 to support SSFR work during 2010–11.
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Stakeholder Engagement

Administrator to SSC Engagement

Level of Engagement

Which of the following [presented in the exhibit below] best describes the level of engagement between the SSC and administrators at this school?

SSC Perception of Value

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: The principal values the SSC’s recommendations.

Stakeholder Input on Budget Spending and Development

Parent and Community Input

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: Parents and community members (besides SSC members) have adequate opportunity to provide input into developing and spending the school’s budget.

Teacher and Staff Input

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: Teachers and staff have the opportunity to provide input into developing and spending the budget at schools.

School-Community Collaboration

Regarding Parent Environment

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: This school is welcoming to parents.

Autonomy and Accountability

Accountability by Subgroup

Principal Accountability for Student Performance

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: I am held accountable for student performance.

Teacher Accountability for Student Performance

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: Teachers in our school are held accountable for student performance.

SSC Accountability for Student Performance

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: The SSC in our school is held accountable for student performance.

Classified Staff Accountability for Student Performance
To what extent do you feel the classified staff at a school are accountable for student success to the following groups?

Resource Allocation

Control Over District Funds

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: I have discretion over how district dollars are spent at my school.

Control Over School Funds

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: I have discretion over how dollars in my school budget are spent.

Instruction

Instructional Program

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: I have sufficient autonomy to implement an instructional program that meets the needs of the students in my school.

Course Offerings

How much control do you feel you have over course offerings in your school this year?

Curriculum

How much control do you feel you have over the curriculum in your school this year?

Instructional Materials

How much control do you feel you have over instructional materials in your school this year?

Instructional Strategies or Approaches

How much control do you feel you have over instructional strategies or approaches in your school this year?

Technology Use

How much control do you feel you have over technology use in your school this year?

Data and Assessment

Student Assessment

How much control do you feel you have over student assessment in your school this year?

Data Use

How much control do you feel you have over data use in your school this year?

School Administration and Programs

Extending the School Day or Year

How much control do you feel you have over extending the school day or year in your school this year?
Extracurricular or Afterschool Programming
How much control do you feel you have over extracurricular or afterschool programming in your school this year?

Professional Development
How much control do you feel you have over professional development in your school this year?

Student Supports
How much control do you feel you have over student supports in your school this year?

Teacher Time Use
How much control do you feel you have over teacher time use in your school this year?

Staffing

Teacher Roles/New Staff
How much control do you feel you have over teacher roles/new staff in your school this year?

Support Staff
How much control do you feel you have over types of support staff (learning coaches, paraprofessionals, vice principals, etc) in your school this year?

Community Involvement

Parent Involvement
How much control do you feel you have over parent involvement in your school this year?

Partnerships with External Organizations
How much control do you feel you have over partnerships with external organizations in your school this year?

Innovation and Efficiency

Resource Allocation

Teacher Placement
Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: The district has a teacher placement process that assigns the most effective teachers to schools with the neediest students.

Goals and Strategies

PBAR—School Budget Alignment
Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement about the Planning, Budgeting, and Resource Allocation (PBAR) tool: The PBAR is useful for aligning my goals and strategies to my school budget.

PBAR—Stakeholder Communication
Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement about the Planning, Budgeting, and Resource Allocation (PBAR) tool: The PBAR is useful for communicating with stakeholders at my school.

PBAR—Development of Goals and Strategies

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement about the Planning, Budgeting, and Resource Allocation (PBAR) tool: The PBAR is useful for developing comprehensive goals and strategies for my school.

School Site Plan

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: The school community’s priorities are reflected in the school site plan.

Innovation

Resource Adequacy

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: I feel that I have the resources I need to try new things.

District Support

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: I feel that I have sufficient support from the district for trying new things in my school.

Professional Development

District Support

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: The district provides adequate training and professional development for me to do my job successfully.

Evaluation

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: The formal (contractual) evaluation process is a useful tool for improving my work.

Job Satisfaction

Job Security

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: I worry about the security of my job because of the performance of students on state and/or local tests.

Salary Satisfaction

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: I am satisfied with my salary.

Appendix: Survey Instruments and Interview Protocols

TRUSD SSFR Surveys 2010-11 & 2011-12

SSFR Interview Protocols
INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW

This document contains all of the tables and graphics created as part of the Strategic School Funding for Results (SSFR) project during the 2010–11 and 2011–12 school year. The document displays each of the items from the survey of principals, teachers, and school site councils (SSCs). Most of the survey items are organized by the construct they represent, such as equity, transparency, and accountability.

Abbreviations Used in the Tables

TRUSD Twin Rivers Unified School Districts
PBAR Planning Budgeting and Allocation of Resources (refers to the site-based planning and budgeting tool provided to schools under the SSFR project).
SSC School Site Council
SSFR Strategic School Funding for Results
**BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**School Demographics**

**Grades Served**

*What grades does this school serve?*

**Principals (2011–12)**

---

**What grades does this school serve?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>Elementary</th>
<th>K-8</th>
<th>Junior High/Middle School</th>
<th>High School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 1 (n=10)</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 2 (n=8)</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 3 (n=15)</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
English Learners

What is the percentage of English Learners in your school?

Principals (2011–12)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>Below 25%</th>
<th>25-40%</th>
<th>Above 40%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 1 (n=11)</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 2 (n=9 )</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 3 (n=15)</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Below 25%: Below 25%
- 25-40%: 25-40%
- Above 40%: Above 40%
Free or Reduced-Price Lunch

What is the percentage of students receiving free or reduced-price meals in your school?

Principals (2011–12)

![Bar chart showing percentages of students receiving free or reduced-price meals by cohort.]

- **Cohort 1 (n=11)**: 18% Below 75%, 64% 75-90%, 18% Above 90%
- **Cohort 2 (n=9)**: 22% Below 75%, 44% 75-90%, 33% Above 90%
- **Cohort 3 (n=15)**: 7% Below 75%, 60% 75-90%, 33% Above 90%
Principal and Staff Experience

Years Employed as a Stakeholder

Prior to this school year, how many years did you serve as principal of this or any other school?

Principals (2011–12)

Prior to this school year, how many years did you serve as principal of this or any other school?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>1-2 Years</th>
<th>3-5 Years</th>
<th>6-10 Years</th>
<th>11+ Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 1</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 2</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 3</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Principals (2010–11)

Prior to this school year, how many years did you serve as principal of this or any other school?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>1-2 Years</th>
<th>3-5 Years</th>
<th>6-10 Years</th>
<th>11+ Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilot</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Pilot</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Prior to this school year, how many years have you been employed at this or any other school district?

Teachers (2011–12)

Prior to this school year, how many years have you been employed at this or any other school district? (n=189)

- None: 57%
- 1-2 Years: 12%
- 3-5 Years: 4%
- 6-10 Years: 23%
- 11 or more Years: 2%

Teachers (2010–11)

Prior to this school year, how many years have you been employed at this or any other school district? (n=292)

- None: 62%
- 1-2 Years: 12%
- 3-5 Years: 2%
- 6-10 Years: 23%
- 11 or more Years: 2%
# About Survey Respondents

## Count of Respondent Groups

### Principals (2011–12)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondent Type</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 1</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 2</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 3</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undefined</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>38</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Principals (2010–11)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondent Type</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilot</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Pilot</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>35</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Teachers (2011–12)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondent Type</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teacher</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Teachers (2010–11)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondent Type</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teacher</td>
<td>392</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SSC (2011–12)

#### Count of 2011–12 TRUSD SSC Respondents by Cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondent Type</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 1</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 2</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 3</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undefined</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>192</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SSC (2010–11)

#### Count of 2010–11 TRUSD SSC Respondents by Pilot Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondent Type</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilot</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Pilot</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>198</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Site

*Please indicate the type of site you work at. If you work at more than one site, please select your primary site.*

### Teachers (2011–12)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Type</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9–12 grade site (also includes 6–12 and 7–12 sites)</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle grades site (3–6, 5–8, 6–8, 7–8)</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preschool through 2, 4, or 5 site</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preschool through 6 site</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preschool through 8 site</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preschool through K site</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependent Charter site</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Education site</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not at a school site</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure how to answer this question</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>190</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SSC (2011–12)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Role

*How would you classify your position at this school during this school year?*

Teachers (2011–12)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondent Type</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regular Full-Time or Part-Time Teacher</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itinerant Teacher (I teach at more than one school)</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Teachers (2010–11)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondent Type</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regular Full-Time Teacher</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular Part-Time Teacher</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itinerant Teacher (I teach at more than one school)</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>392</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SSC (2011–12)

What is your role at this school?

Cohort 1 (n=41):
- Principal: 15%
- Teacher: 27%
- Parent: 32%
- Student: 10%
- Other school staff: 17%

Cohort 2 (n=59):
- Principal: 10%
- Teacher: 37%
- Parent: 36%
- Student: 14%
- Other school staff: 12%

Cohort 3 (n=49):
- Principal: 12%
- Teacher: 37%
- Parent: 35%
- Student: 4%
- Other school staff: 12%

SSC (2010–11)

What is your role at this school?

Pilot (n=32):
- Principal: 6%
- Teacher: 38%
- Parent: 50%
- Student: 6%

Non Pilot (n=162):
- Principal: 5%
- Teacher: 40%
- Parent: 36%
- Student: 14%
EQUITY

Distribution of Funds

District to Schools

*Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: I believe funds are equitably allocated to schools within our district.*

Principals (2011–12)

![Bar chart showing agreement levels among principals over different cohorts.]

Principals (2010–11)

![Bar chart showing agreement levels among principals over different groups.]

Pilot (n=7) Non Pilot (n=24)
Teachers (2011–12)

I believe funds are equitably allocated to schools within our district

- Strongly Agree: 25%
- Agree: 41%
- Disagree: 32%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Teacher (n=185)

Teachers (2010–11)

I believe funds are equitably allocated to schools within our district

- Strongly Agree: 22%
- Agree: 46%
- Disagree: 29%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Teacher (n=292)
SSC (2011–12)

I believe funds are equitably allocated to schools within our district

Cohort 1 (n=38)
- Strongly Agree: 16%
- Agree: 76%
- Disagree: 19%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Cohort 2 (n=59)
- Strongly Agree: 15%
- Agree: 66%
- Disagree: 19%
- Strongly Disagree: 11%

Cohort 3 (n=45)
- Strongly Agree: 9%
- Agree: 56%
- Disagree: 24%
- Strongly Disagree: 11%

SSC (2010–11)

I believe funds are equitably allocated to schools within our district

Pilot (n=32)
- Strongly Agree: 11%
- Agree: 46%
- Disagree: 31%
- Strongly Disagree: 12%

Non-Pilot (n=153)
- Strongly Agree: 9%
- Agree: 59%
- Disagree: 25%
- Strongly Disagree: 6%
Addressing the Needs of Student Subgroup Populations

Low-Income

*Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: Schools that serve greater percentages of low-income students in our district receive more resources.*

**Principals (2011–12)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 1</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>88%</td>
<td></td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 3</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Principals (2010–11)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilot</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Pilot</td>
<td></td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Teachers (2011–12)

Schools that serve greater percentages of low-income students in our district receive more resources than schools with lower percentages of these students

Teacher (n=185)

- Strongly Agree: 13%
- Agree: 33%
- Disagree: 44%
- Strongly Disagree: 10%

Teachers (2010–11)

Schools that serve greater percentages of low-income students in our district receive more resources than schools with lower percentages of these students

Teacher (n=281)

- Strongly Agree: 14%
- Agree: 38%
- Disagree: 40%
- Strongly Disagree: 8%
Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: Schools that serve greater percentages of low-performing students in our district receive more resources.

**Principals (2011–12)**

![Bar chart showing the agreement levels across different cohorts.]

- **Cohort 1 (n=11)**: 9% Strongly Agree, 27% Agree, 18% Disagree, 45% Strongly Disagree
- **Cohort 2 (n=8)**: 38% Strongly Agree, 63% Agree, 7% Disagree, 40% Strongly Disagree
- **Cohort 3 (n=15)**: 7% Strongly Agree, 47% Agree, 7% Disagree, 40% Strongly Disagree

**Principal (2010–11)**

![Bar chart showing the agreement levels across different groups.]

- **Pilot (n=8)**: 13% Strongly Agree, 13% Agree, 75% Disagree, 8% Strongly Disagree
- **Non Pilot (n=26)**: 46% Strongly Agree, 31% Agree, 15% Disagree, 8% Strongly Disagree
English Learners

*Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: Schools that serve greater percentages of English Learners in our district receive more resources than schools with lower percentages of these students.*

Teachers (2011–12)

Schools that serve greater percentages of English Learners in our district receive more resources than schools with lower percentages of these students

Teachers (n=185)

- **Strongly Agree:** 12%
- **Agree:** 43%
- **Disagree:** 38%
- **Strongly Disagree:** 8%

Teachers (2010–11)

Schools that serve greater percentages of English Learners in our district receive more resources than schools with lower percentages of these students

Teacher (n=284)

- **Strongly Disagree:** 13%
- **Disagree:** 41%
- **Agree:** 41%
- **Strongly Agree:** 5%
TRANSPARENCY

District to School Transparency

Resource Allocation

*Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: I understand how resources (staff, funds, etc.) are allocated to my school.*

**Principals (2011–12)**

![Chart showing agreement levels for resource allocation among different cohorts and groups of principals.]

**Principals (2010–11)**

![Chart showing agreement levels for resource allocation among different groups of principals.]
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Teachers (2011–12)

I understand how resources (staff, funds, etc.) are allocated to my school

Teacher (n=186)

- Strongly Agree: 12%
- Agree: 43%
- Disagree: 38%
- Strongly Disagree: 6%

Teachers (2010–11)

I understand how resources (staff, funds, etc.) are allocated to my school

Teacher (n=287)

- Strongly Agree: 13%
- Agree: 43%
- Disagree: 41%
I understand how resources (staff, funds, etc.) are allocated to my school

SSC (2011–12)

Cohort 1 (n=40)
- Strongly Agree: 65%
- Agree: 35%
- Disagree: 8%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Cohort 2 (n=59)
- Strongly Agree: 59%
- Agree: 37%
- Disagree: 18%
- Strongly Disagree: 8%

Cohort 3 (n=49)
- Strongly Agree: 73%
- Agree: 18%
- Disagree: 6%
- Strongly Disagree: 8%

SSC (2010–11)

Pilot (n=32)
- Strongly Agree: 12%
- Agree: 62%
- Disagree: 22%
- Strongly Disagree: 28%

Non-Pilot (n=165)
- Strongly Agree: 9%
- Agree: 63%
- Disagree: 22%
- Strongly Disagree: 9%
Financial Condition of the District

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: I understand the financial condition of the district.

Teachers (2011–12)
Budget Support from the District to Principals

*Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: I feel that I receive adequate support from the district to develop my school’s budget.*

Principals (2011–12)

I feel that I receive adequate support from the district to develop my school’s budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cohort 1 (n=11)</th>
<th>Cohort 2 (n=9)</th>
<th>Cohort 3 (n=15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cohort 1 (n=11)  Cohort 2 (n=9)  Cohort 3 (n=15)
Strategies for Improving Student Achievement

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: Communications about district strategies for improving student achievement are clear.

Teachers (2011–12)

Communications about district strategies for improving student achievement are clear

- Strongly Agree: 17%
- Agree: 46%
- Disagree: 34%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Teacher (n=185)

Legend:
- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree
School to Community Transparency

Communication—School Budget and Resources

*Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: This school communicates effectively with parents about school budgets and resources.*

SSC (2011–12)

![Chart showing the percentage of agreement with the statement across three cohorts.]

**Cohort 1 (n=41)**
- Strongly Agree: 5%
- Agree: 76%
- Disagree: 31%
- Strongly Disagree: 17%

**Cohort 2 (n=58)**
- Strongly Agree: 10%
- Agree: 59%
- Disagree: 31%
- Strongly Disagree: 10%

**Cohort 3 (n=48)**
- Strongly Agree: 10%
- Agree: 77%
- Disagree: 10%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
Communication—Child Progress

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: This school communicates effectively with parents about the progress of their children.

SSC (2011–12)

This school communicates effectively with parents about the progress of their children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 1 (n=41)</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 2 (n=58)</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 3 (n=48)</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Communication—School Events

*Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: This school communicates effectively with parents about upcoming school events.*

SSC (2011–12)

This school communicates effectively with parents about upcoming school events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 1</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 2</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 3</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cohort 1 (n=41)  Cohort 2 (n=58)  Cohort 3 (n=46)
Clarity of Budget Documents

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: The budget documents I am provided for SSC review are easy to understand and interpret.

SSC (2011–12)

The budget documents I am provided for SSC review are easy to understand and interpret

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 1 (n=41)</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 2 (n=59)</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 3 (n=48)</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Budget Support from the Principal to the SSC

*Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: The principal provides adequate support and information for the SSC to make budget recommendations.*

SSC (2011–12)

The principal provides adequate support and information for the SSC to make budget recommendations

Cohort 1 (n=41) Cohort 2 (n=59) Cohort 3 (n=49)

- **Strongly Agree**: 44%, 54%, 61%
- **Agree**: 54%, 44%, 35%
- **Disagree**: 0%, 20%, 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%, 0%, 0%
STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

Administrator to SSC Engagement

Level of Engagement

Which of the following [presented in the exhibit below] best describes the level of engagement between the SSC and administrators at this school?

Principals (2011–12)

Which of the following best describes the level of engagement between the SSC and administrators at this school?

- Administrators make key school decisions and inform the SSC
  - Cohort 1 (n=9): 0%
  - Cohort 2 (n=6): 0%
  - Cohort 3 (n=13): 0%

- Administrators consult with the SSC about key school decisions; administration makes the final decisions
  - Cohort 1 (n=9): 22%
  - Cohort 2 (n=6): 67%
  - Cohort 3 (n=13): 31%

- Administrators and the SSC are involved in two-way communication about key school decisions; administration makes the final decisions
  - Cohort 1 (n=9): 44%
  - Cohort 2 (n=6): 33%
  - Cohort 3 (n=13): 46%

- Administrators and the SSC are involved in two-way communication about key school decisions; administration and the SSC make final decisions together
  - Cohort 1 (n=9): 33%
  - Cohort 2 (n=6): 0%
  - Cohort 3 (n=13): 23%
Which of the following best describes the level of engagement between the SSC and administrators at this school?

- Administrators make key school decisions and inform the SSC
  - Cohort 1 (n=36) 9%
  - Cohort 2 (n=47) 5%
  - Cohort 3 (n=38) 2%

- Administrators consult with the SSC about key school decisions; administration makes the final decisions
  - Cohort 1 (n=36) 20%
  - Cohort 2 (n=47) 26%
  - Cohort 3 (n=38) 9%

- Administrators and the SSC are involved in two-way communication about key school decisions; administration makes the final decisions
  - Cohort 1 (n=36) 26%
  - Cohort 2 (n=47) 37%
  - Cohort 3 (n=38) 21%

- Administrators and the SSC are involved in two-way communication about key school decisions; administration and the SSC make final decisions together
  - Cohort 1 (n=36) 45%
  - Cohort 2 (n=47) 32%
  - Cohort 3 (n=38) 68%
SSC Perception of Value

*Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: The principal values the SSC’s recommendations.*

![Bar chart](image)

The principal values the SSC's recommendations

- **Cohort 1 (n=41)**
  - Strongly Agree: 51%
  - Agree: 49%

- **Cohort 2 (n=58)**
  - Strongly Agree: 53%
  - Agree: 45%

- **Cohort 3 (n=48)**
  - Strongly Agree: 54%
  - Agree: 38%

- Strongly Disagree: 6%
Stakeholder Input on Budget Spending and Development

Parent and Community Input

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: Parents and community members (besides SSC members) have adequate opportunity to provide input into developing and spending the school’s budget.

Principals (2011–12)

Parents and community members (besides SSC members) have adequate opportunity to provide input into developing and spending the school's budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort 1 (n=11)</th>
<th>Cohort 2 (n=9)</th>
<th>Cohort 3 (n=15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SSC (2011–12)

Parents and community members (besides SSC members) have adequate opportunity to provide input into developing and spending the school's budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort 1 (n=41)</th>
<th>Cohort 2 (n=59)</th>
<th>Cohort 3 (n=49)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Teacher and Staff Input

*Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: Teachers and staff have the opportunity to provide input into developing and spending the budget at schools.*

Teachers (2011–12)

![Chart showing agreement levels among teachers.]

- **Strongly Agree:** 17%
- **Agree:** 43%
- **Disagree:** 34%
- **Strongly Disagree:** 7%

*Teacher (n=185)*
School-Community Collaboration

Regarding Parent Environment

*Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: This school is welcoming to parents.*

SSC (2011–12)

![Bar chart showing responses to the statement: This school is welcoming to parents.](chart_image)

- **Cohort 1 (n=41)**
  - Strongly Agree: 37%
  - Agree: 63%
  - Disagree: 0%
  - Strongly Disagree: 0%

- **Cohort 2 (n=59)**
  - Strongly Agree: 34%
  - Agree: 66%
  - Disagree: 0%
  - Strongly Disagree: 0%

- **Cohort 3 (n=48)**
  - Strongly Agree: 42%
  - Agree: 54%
  - Disagree: 0%
  - Strongly Disagree: 0%
Accountability by Subgroup

Principal Accountability for Student Performance

*Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: I am held accountable for student performance.*

Principals (2011–12)

![Bar chart showing accountability levels across different cohorts.](image)
Teachers (2011–12)

To what extent do you feel principals are accountable for student success to the following groups?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Very Accountable</th>
<th>Somewhat Accountable</th>
<th>Not at all Accountable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The district</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To parents/guardians of students in your school</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To teachers in your school</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To the general community</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To your school's school site council</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SSC (2011–12)

Our principal is held accountable for student performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 1 (n=41)</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 2 (n=59)</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 3 (n=48)</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Teacher Accountability for Student Performance

*Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: Teachers in our school are held accountable for student performance.*

**Principals (2011–12)**

![Bar chart showing the percentage of principals' agreement with the statement for different cohorts.](image)

**Teachers (2011–12)**

![Bar chart showing the extent to which teachers feel accountable for student success to different groups.](image)
Teachers in our school are held accountable for student performance

Cohort 1 (n=40)
- Strongly Agree: 13%
- Agree: 50%
- Disagree: 38%

Cohort 2 (n=58)
- Strongly Agree: 7%
- Agree: 57%
- Disagree: 36%

Cohort 3 (n=48)
- Strongly Agree: 13%
- Agree: 58%
- Disagree: 38%
SSC Accountability for Student Performance

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: The SSC in our school is held accountable for student performance.

Principals (2011–12)

SSC (2011–12)
Classified Staff Accountability for Student Performance

*To what extent do you feel the classified staff at a school are accountable for student success to the following groups?*

**Teachers (2011–12)**

![Bar chart showing the extent of accountability for classified staff to different groups.

- **The district**: 46% Very Accountable, 43% Somewhat Accountable, 11% Not at all Accountable.
- **To parents/guardians of students in your school**: 46% Very Accountable, 44% Somewhat Accountable, 10% Not at all Accountable.
- **To teachers in your school**: 38% Very Accountable, 45% Somewhat Accountable, 17% Not at all Accountable.
- **To the general community**: 59% Very Accountable, 34% Somewhat Accountable, 7% Not at all Accountable.
- **To your school's school site council**: 66% Very Accountable, 28% Somewhat Accountable, 7% Not at all Accountable.


Resource Allocation

Control Over District Funds

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: I have discretion over how district dollars are spent at my school.

Principals (2011–12)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 1 (n=11)</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 2 (n=9)</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 3 (n=15)</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Control Over School Funds

*Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: I have discretion over how dollars in my school budget are spent.*

**Principals (2011–12)**

![Bar chart showing responses of Principals](chart1)

**Teachers (2011–12)**

![Bar chart showing responses of Teachers](chart2)
The SSC has significant influence over how the dollars in this school's budget are spent

- Cohort 1 (n=41):
  - Strongly Agree: 24%
  - Agree: 73%
  - Disagree: 10%
  - Strongly Disagree: 0%

- Cohort 2 (n=59):
  - Strongly Agree: 31%
  - Agree: 59%
  - Disagree: 10%
  - Strongly Disagree: 0%

- Cohort 3 (n=48):
  - Strongly Agree: 17%
  - Agree: 77%
  - Disagree: 10%
  - Strongly Disagree: 0%
Instruction

Instructional Program

*Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: I have sufficient autonomy to implement an instructional program that meets the needs of the students in my school.*

Principals (2011–12)

![Bar chart showing responses of Principals across three cohorts.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 1 (n=11)</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 2 (n=9)</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 3 (n=15)</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Teachers (2011–12)

![Bar chart showing responses of Teachers.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teacher (n=185)</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Course Offerings

How much control do you feel you have over course offerings in your school this year?

Principals (2011–12)
Curriculum

How much control do you feel you have over the curriculum in your school this year?

Principals (2011–12)
Instructional Materials

*How much control do you feel you have over instructional materials in your school this year?*

**Principals (2011–12)**

![Control over instructional materials](image)
Instructional Strategies or Approaches

*How much control do you feel you have over instructional strategies or approaches in your school this year?*

**Principals (2011–12)**

![Bar chart showing control over instructional strategies or approaches among different cohorts.](chart.png)

- **Cohort 1 (n=11)**: 18% No Control, 55% Minor Control, 27% Moderate Control, 2% A Great Deal of Control
- **Cohort 2 (n=9)**: 22% No Control, 44% Minor Control, 33% Moderate Control, 1% A Great Deal of Control
- **Cohort 3 (n=14)**: 36% No Control, 36% Minor Control, 18% Moderate Control, 10% A Great Deal of Control
Technology Use

How much control do you feel you have over technology use in your school this year?

Principals (2011–12)

Control over technology use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort 1 (n=11)</th>
<th>Cohort 2 (n=9)</th>
<th>Cohort 3 (n=14)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Control</td>
<td>Minor Control</td>
<td>Moderate Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:
- No Control
- Minor Control
- Moderate Control
- A Great Deal of Control
Data and Assessment

Student Assessment

How much control do you feel you have over student assessment in your school this year?

Principals (2011–12)

Control over student assessment

- Cohort 1 (n=11):
  - No Control: 9%
  - Minor Control: 55%
  - Moderate Control: 36%
  - A Great Deal of Control: 9%

- Cohort 2 (n=9):
  - No Control: 11%
  - Minor Control: 44%
  - Moderate Control: 36%
  - A Great Deal of Control: 9%

- Cohort 3 (n=14):
  - No Control: 7%
  - Minor Control: 57%
  - Moderate Control: 36%
  - A Great Deal of Control: 9%
Data Use

How much control do you feel you have over data use in your school this year?

Principals (2011–12)

Control over data use

- **No Control**
- **Minor Control**
- **Moderate Control**
- **A Great Deal of Control**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>No Control</th>
<th>Minor Control</th>
<th>Moderate Control</th>
<th>A Great Deal of Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 1</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 2</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 3</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cohort 1 (n=11)  
Cohort 2 (n=9)  
Cohort 3 (n=14)  
Total (n=37)
School Administration and Programs

Extending the School Day or Year

*How much control do you feel you have over extending the school day or year in your school this year?*

**Principals (2011–12)**

![Control over extending the school day or year](chart)

- **Cohort 1 (n=11)**
  - No Control: 36%
  - Minor Control: 9%
  - Moderate Control: 27%
  - A Great Deal of Control: 27%

- **Cohort 2 (n=9)**
  - No Control: 33%
  - Minor Control: 44%
  - Moderate Control: 7%
  - A Great Deal of Control: 7%

- **Cohort 3 (n=14)**
  - No Control: 7%
  - Minor Control: 36%
  - Moderate Control: 7%
  - A Great Deal of Control: 50%
Extracurricular or Afterschool Programming

How much control do you feel you have over extracurricular or afterschool programming in your school this year?

Principals (2011–12)

Control over extracurricular or afterschool programming

- Cohort 1 (n=11)
  - No Control: 45%
  - Minor Control: 27%
  - Moderate Control: 27%
  - A Great Deal of Control: 21%

- Cohort 2 (n=9)
  - No Control: 33%
  - Minor Control: 56%

- Cohort 3 (n=14)
  - No Control: 21%
  - Minor Control: 57%
Professional Development

*How much control do you feel you have over professional development in your school this year?*

**Principals (2011–12)**

![Control over professional development](chart)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort 1 (n=11)</th>
<th>Cohort 2 (n=9)</th>
<th>Cohort 3 (n=12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Control</td>
<td>No Control</td>
<td>Minor Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Control</td>
<td>Minor Control</td>
<td>Moderate Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Control</td>
<td>Moderate Control</td>
<td>A Great Deal of Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Student Supports

*How much control do you feel you have over student supports in your school this year?*

Principals (2011–12)

[Graph showing control over student supports across three cohorts]

Cohort 1 (n=11)
- No Control: 36%
- Minor Control: 55%
- Moderate Control: 9%
- A Great Deal of Control: 9%

Cohort 2 (n=9)
- No Control: 33%
- Minor Control: 44%
- Moderate Control: 22%
- A Great Deal of Control: 14%

Cohort 3 (n=14)
- No Control: 29%
- Minor Control: 57%
- Moderate Control: 14%
- A Great Deal of Control: 14%
Teacher Time Use

How much control do you feel you have over teacher time use in your school this year?

Principals (2011–12)

![Control over teacher time use chart]

- **Cohort 1 (n=11)**:
  - No Control: 9%
  - Minor Control: 82%
  - Moderate Control: 9%
  - A Great Deal of Control: 11%

- **Cohort 2 (n=9)**:
  - No Control: 11%
  - Minor Control: 44%
  - Moderate Control: 44%
  - A Great Deal of Control: 14%

- **Cohort 3 (n=14)**:
  - No Control: 14%
  - Minor Control: 50%
  - Moderate Control: 36%
  - A Great Deal of Control: 9%
Staffing

Teacher Roles/New Staff

How much control do you feel you have over teacher roles/new staff in your school this year?

Principals (2011–12)

Control over teacher roles/new staff

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort 1 (n=11)</th>
<th>Cohort 2 (n=9)</th>
<th>Cohort 3 (n=14)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>No Control</strong></td>
<td><strong>Minor Control</strong></td>
<td><strong>Moderate Control</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Support Staff

*How much control do you feel you have over types of support staff (learning coaches, paraprofessionals, vice principals, etc) in your school this year?*

**Principals (2011–12)**

![Control over types of support staff chart]

- **Cohort 1 (n=11)**:
  - No Control: 45%
  - Minor Control: 55%
  - A Great Deal of Control: 11%

- **Cohort 2 (n=9)**:
  - No Control: 44%
  - Minor Control: 44%
  - A Great Deal of Control: 11%

- **Cohort 3 (n=14)**:
  - No Control: 33%
  - Minor Control: 67%
Community Involvement

Parent Involvement

*How much control do you feel you have over parent involvement in your school this year?*

**Principals (2011–12)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control over parent involvement</th>
<th>Cohort 1 (n=11)</th>
<th>Cohort 2 (n=9)</th>
<th>Cohort 3 (n=14)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Control</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Control</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Great Deal of Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cohort 1 (n=11)  
Cohort 2 (n=9)  
Cohort 3 (n=14)
Partnerships with External Organizations

How much control do you feel you have over partnerships with external organizations in your school this year?

Principals (2011–12)

Control over partnerships with external organizations

Cohort 1 (n=11) Cohort 2 (n=9) Cohort 3 (n=14)

- 9% No Control 3% Minor Control 7% Moderate Control 4% A Great Deal of Control
- 55% No Control 22% Minor Control 44% Moderate Control 4% A Great Deal of Control
- 36% No Control 44% Minor Control 50% Moderate Control 7% A Great Deal of Control

Legend:
- No Control
- Minor Control
- Moderate Control
- A Great Deal of Control
INNOVATION AND EFFICIENCY

Resource Allocation

Teacher Placement

*Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: The district has a teacher placement process that assigns the most effective teachers to schools with the neediest students.*

Principals (2011–12)

---

The district has a teacher placement process that assigns the most effective teachers to schools with the neediest students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort 1 (n=11)</th>
<th>Cohort 2 (n=9)</th>
<th>Cohort 3 (n=15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Cohort 1: 64% Strongly Agree, 27% Agree, 9% Disagree, 2% Strongly Disagree
- Cohort 2: 78% Strongly Agree, 22% Agree, 7% Disagree, 3% Strongly Disagree
- Cohort 3: 67% Strongly Agree, 27% Agree, 7% Disagree, 3% Strongly Disagree
Goals and Strategies

PBAR—School Budget Alignment

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement about the Planning, Budgeting, and Resource Allocation (PBAR) tool: The PBAR is useful for aligning my goals and strategies to my school budget.

Principals (2011–12)

The PBAR is useful for aligning my goals and strategies to my school budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 1 (n=11)</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 2 (n=9)</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 3 (n=15)</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement about the Planning, Budgeting, and Resource Allocation (PBAR) tool: The PBAR is useful for communicating with stakeholders at my school.

**Principals (2011–12)**

---

**The PBAR is useful for communicating with stakeholders at my school**

- **Strongly Agree**
  - Cohort 1 (n=11): 27%
  - Cohort 2 (n=9): 11%
  - Cohort 3 (n=15): 7%
  - Total (n=38): 13%

- **Agree**
  - Cohort 1 (n=11): 45%
  - Cohort 2 (n=9): 78%
  - Cohort 3 (n=15): 53%
  - Total (n=38): 55%

- **Disagree**
  - Cohort 1 (n=11): 27%
  - Cohort 2 (n=9): 11%
  - Cohort 3 (n=15): 7%
  - Total (n=38): 13%

- **Strongly Disagree**
  - Cohort 1 (n=11): 0%
  - Cohort 2 (n=9): 20%
  - Cohort 3 (n=15): 40%
  - Total (n=38): 55%
Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement about the Planning, Budgeting, and Resource Allocation (PBAR) tool: The PBAR is useful for developing comprehensive goals and strategies for my school.

Principals (2011–12)

The PBAR is useful for developing comprehensive goals and strategies for my school

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cohort 1 (n=11)</th>
<th>Cohort 2 (n=9)</th>
<th>Cohort 3 (n=15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td></td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cohort 1 (n=11) Cohort 2 (n=9) Cohort 3 (n=15)
School Site Plan

*Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: The school community’s priorities are reflected in the school site plan.*

**Principals (2011–12)**

**SSC (2011–12)**

*The school community’s priorities are reflected in the school site plan*
Innovation

Resource Adequacy

*Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: I feel that I have the resources I need to try new things.*

**Principals (2011–12)**

![Bar chart showing responses of Principals (2011–12)]

**Teachers (2011–12)**

![Bar chart showing responses of Teachers (2011–12)]
District Support

*Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: I feel that I have sufficient support from the district for trying new things in my school.*

**Principals (2011-12)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cohort 1 (n=11)</th>
<th>Cohort 2 (n=9)</th>
<th>Cohort 3 (n=14)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Professional Development

District Support

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: The district provides adequate training and professional development for me to do my job successfully.

Teachers (2011–12)

The district provides adequate training and professional development for me to do my job successfully

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: The formal (contractual) evaluation process is a useful tool for improving my work.

Teachers (2011–12)
Job Satisfaction

Job Security

*Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: I worry about the security of my job because of the performance of students on state and/or local tests.*

Teachers (2011–12)

![Bar chart showing percentages of teachers' agreement levels with the statement.]

- **Strongly Agree**: 12%
- **Agree**: 45%
- **Disagree**: 33%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 9%

Teacher (n=185)
Salary Satisfaction

*Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statement: I am satisfied with my salary.*

**Teachers (2011–12)**

![Bar chart showing satisfaction levels for teachers.](chart.png)
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Twin Rivers Unified School District
Principal Survey

Spring 2012

---

**About the SSFR Principal Survey**

**Purpose of the study**
The American Institutes for Research (AIR) is conducting a study of the Strategic School Funding for Results (SSFR) Initiative in two school districts: Los Angeles Unified School District and Twin Rivers Unified School District. This project is funded by the U.S. Department of Education Institute for Education Sciences.

**Purpose of the survey**
This annual survey will help us understand how school and district policies and your role and practices as an administrator change over time as this Initiative is rolled out, if at all.

**Confidentiality**
*Your answers to the questions in this survey will be kept strictly confidential.* Results from this survey will never be presented in a way that would permit any response to be associated with a specific school or individual. Only aggregate results- not individual responses- will be provided to Twin Rivers district staff. We will present findings such as, “62 percent of principal respondents reported having significant influence over spending their school’s budget.”

**Benefits**
Your participation gives you the opportunity to share information about your experiences with the SSFR Initiative as a school administrator. Confidential, aggregate feedback based on the results of this survey will be provided to district leaders. This will provide district leaders with information about principal experiences with this Initiative. The district’s goal is to use this information to improve the SSFR Initiative.

**Freedom to withdraw**
Your participation in this survey is voluntary. You have the right to stop participating at any time without penalty. However, we encourage you to participate, as your input is critical to understanding how SSFR policies are affecting schools and SSCs.
Risks and discomforts

There are no foreseeable risks associated with participation other than the inadvertent release of the data. However, to minimize this risk, there will be no names included on surveys, and you are being asked to place your survey into an envelope with all other surveys to return directly to AIR so no one at Twin Rivers can see your responses when you turn it in. At AIR, surveys will be seen only by authorized project staff, entered into a secure database, and hard copies will be stored in a locked cabinet.

More information

If you have any questions or would like further information about this survey, please contact Lisa Cruz at 650-843-8272 or lcruz@air.org. For questions regarding your rights as a participant in this study, you may contact AIR’s IRB chair at IRB@air.org or 1-800-634-0797.

If you decide to complete and return this survey, you are indicating that you understand and agree to the above.

Thank you for your participation!
Please answer each question below.

1. Which of the following describes your school’s SSFR status?

   - [ ] Cohort 1 pilot
   - [ ] Cohort 2 pilot
   - [ ] Cohort 3 pilot
   - [ ] I don’t know

2. What grades does this school serve?

   - [ ] Elementary
   - [ ] K-8
   - [ ] Junior high/middle school
   - [ ] High school

3. What is the percentage of students receiving free or reduced-price meals in your school?

   - [ ] Below 75%
   - [ ] 75-90%
   - [ ] Above 90%

4. What is the percentage of English learners in your school?

   - [ ] Below 25%
   - [ ] 25-40%
   - [ ] Above 40%
5. Prior to this school year, how many years did you serve as principal of this or any other school?

☐ None
☐ 1-2 years
☐ 3-5 years
☐ 6-10 years
☐ 11 or more years

6. Prior to this school year, how many years did you serve as principal of this school?

☐ None
☐ 1-2 years
☐ 3-5 years
☐ 6-10 years
☐ 11 or more years
7. **How much control do you feel you have over the following areas in your school this year?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>No control</th>
<th>Minor control</th>
<th>Moderate control</th>
<th>A great deal of control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Teacher roles/new staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Types of support staff (learning coaches, paraprofessionals, vice principals, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Professional development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Teacher time use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Curriculum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Course offerings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Instructional materials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Instructional strategies or approaches</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Parent involvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j. Partnerships with external organizations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k. Student supports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l. Technology use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m. Data use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n. Student assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o. Extending the school day or year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p. Extracurricular or after-school programming</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>I understand how resources (staff, funds, etc.) are allocated to my school.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>I have discretion over how district dollars are spent at my school.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>I have discretion over how the dollars in my school budget are spent.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>The district has a teacher placement process that assigns the most effective teachers to schools with the neediest students.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Schools that serve greater percentages of low-performing students in our district receive more resources.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f.</td>
<td>Schools that serve greater percentages of low-income students in our district receive more resources.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g.</td>
<td>I have sufficient autonomy to implement an instructional program that meets the needs of the students in my school.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h.</td>
<td>I feel that I have sufficient support from the district for trying new things in my school.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i.</td>
<td>I believe funds are equitably allocated to schools in our district.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j.</td>
<td>I feel that I receive adequate support from the district to develop my school’s budget.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k.</td>
<td>I feel that I have the resources I need to try new things in my school.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l.</td>
<td>Parents and community members (besides SSC members) have adequate opportunity to provide input into developing and spending this school’s budget.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m.</td>
<td>The school community’s priorities are reflected in the school site plan.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9. Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. I am held accountable for student performance.</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Teachers in our school are held accountable for student performance.</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. The SSC in our school is held accountable for student performance.</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. Which of the following best describes the level of engagement between the SSC and administrators at this school? (Please select one.)

- Administrators make key school decisions and inform the SSC.
- Administrators consult with the SSC about key school decisions; administration makes the final decisions.
- Administrators and the SSC are involved in two-way communication about key school decisions; administration makes the final decisions.
- Administrators and the SSC are involved in two-way communication about key school decisions; administration and the SSC make final decisions together.

11. Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statements about the Planning, Budgeting, and Resource Allocation (PBAR) tool.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The PBAR is useful for developing comprehensive goals and strategies for my school.</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The PBAR is useful for aligning my goals and strategies to my school budget.</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. The PBAR is useful for communicating with stakeholders at my school.</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. What is your definition of “equity” in terms of resource distribution among schools?

_____________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________
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13. Please rank in order THE TOP THREE (1-3) by the actual influence you think each group or person has on decisions concerning the following activities. A rank of 1 means most influence over this activity.

a. Determining the content of in-service professional development for teachers of this school
   — State department of education or other state-level bodies
   — Local school board
   — School district staff
   — Principal
   — Teachers
   — Curriculum specialists
   — School Site Council
   — Parent association
   — Teachers’ union

b. Deciding how your school budget will be spent
   — State department of education or other state-level bodies
   — Local school board
   — School district staff
   — Principal
   — Teachers
   — Curriculum specialists
   — School Site Council
   — Parent association
   — Teachers’ union

c. Scheduling of instructional time at your school (i.e., length of the day, allocation of time among subjects or class periods, after school programming)
   — State department of education or other state-level bodies
   — Local school board
   — School district staff
   — Principal
   — Teachers
   — Curriculum specialists
   — School Site Council
   — Parent association
   — Teachers’ union

When you are finished, please insert this survey into the envelope addressed to AIR.

Thank you!
1. Prior to this school year, how many years did you serve as the principal of this or any other school?
   - None
   - 1-2 years
   - 3-5 years
   - 6-10 years
   - 11 or more years

2. Prior to this school year, how many years did you serve as principal of this school?
   - None
   - 1-2 years
   - 3-5 years
   - 6-10 years
   - 11 or more years

3. Prior to this school year, how many years have you been a principal or teacher in this district?
   - None
   - 1-2 years
   - 3-5 years
   - 6-10 years
   - 11 or more years

4. Have you changed schools since last school year?
   - Yes
   - No (skip to 6)

5. If you have changed schools since last school year, which of the following reasons reflect your reasons for moving to this school? (Check all that apply.)
   - Pay incentives
   - Personal reasons
   - I got assigned here. I did not choose to work at this school
   - Other. Please specify______________________________________________
6. **What grades does your school serve?**
   - Elementary
   - K-8
   - Junior High/Middle School
   - High school

7. **What is the percentage of students receiving free or reduced-price meals in your school?**
   - Below 75%
   - 75-90%
   - Above 90%

8. **What is the percentage of English learners in your school?**
   - Below 25%
   - 25-40%
   - Above 40%

9. **Which of the following are true about your school this year? (Check all that apply.)**
   - This school is a pilot school in the SSFR Initiative.
   - This school is a charter school.
   - Students are assigned to this school based on attendance area.
   - 10% or more of your students are transfers from outside of your official attendance area.
   - Your school or part of your school is a magnet or has a special theme.
   - Your school has academic entrance criteria for students.
   - Your school has other entrance requirements (eg- performing arts).
   - Your school has requirements or contracts for parent participation.
   - Students are required to maintain minimum performance standards (eg- behavior, academic, attendance) to remain at your school.

10. **How much support do you feel you receive from the district for trying new things in your school?**
    - A lot
    - Some
    - A little
    - None
11. Have you tried anything new in your school this year in the following areas? *(Check all that apply.)*

- Teacher roles/new staff
- Extending the school day or year
- Teacher time use
- Curriculum
- Course offerings
- Instructional materials
- Instructional strategies or approaches
- Parent involvement
- Partnerships with external organizations
- Student supports
- Professional development
- Data use
- Student assessment
- Technology use
- Extracurricular or after-school programming
12. Please rank in order THE TOP THREE (1-3) by the actual influence you think each group or person has on decisions concerning the following activities. A rank of 1 means most influence over this activity.

a. Establishing curriculum at this school
   — State department of education or other state-level bodies
   — Local school board
   — School district staff
   — Principal
   — Teachers
   — Curriculum specialists
   — School Site Council
   — Parent association
   — Teachers’ union

b. Determining the content of in-service professional development programs for teachers of this school
   — State department of education or other state-level bodies
   — Local school board
   — School district staff
   — Principal
   — Teachers
   — Curriculum specialists
   — School Site Council
   — Parent association
   — Teachers’ union

c. Evaluating teachers of this school
   — State department of education or other state-level bodies
   — Local school board
   — School district staff
   — Principal
   — Teachers
   — Curriculum specialists
   — School Site Council
   — Parent association
   — Teachers’ union
d. Hiring new teachers at this school
   — State department of education or other state-level bodies
   — Local school board
   — School district staff
   — Principal
   — Teachers
   — Curriculum specialists
   — School Site Council
   — Parent association
   — Teachers’ union

e. Deciding how your school budget will be spent
   — State department of education or other state-level bodies
   — Local school board
   — School district staff
   — Principal
   — Teachers
   — Curriculum specialists
   — School Site Council
   — Parent association
   — Teachers’ union

f. Scheduling of instructional time at your school (i.e., length of the day or allocation of time among subjects or class periods)
   — State department of education or other state-level bodies
   — Local school board
   — School district staff
   — Principal
   — Teachers
   — Curriculum specialists
   — School Site Council
   — Parent association
   — Teachers’ union
13. To what extent do you feel teachers are held accountable for student success to the following groups?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not at all accountable</th>
<th>Somewhat accountable</th>
<th>Very accountable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To you, the principal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To parents/guardians of students in your school</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To students</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To the general community</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. What is your definition of “equity”, in terms of resource distribution among schools?

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________
15. To what extent do you agree with the following statements?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>I feel that the evaluation of my performance is related to my students’ achievement.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>I understand how resources (staff, funds, etc.) are allocated to my school.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>I have discretion over how <em>district dollars</em> are spent at my school.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>I have discretion over how the dollars in <em>my school budget</em> are spent.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Principals are assigned equitably to schools in our district.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f.</td>
<td>Teachers are assigned equitably to schools in our district.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g.</td>
<td>Students are assigned equitably to schools in our district.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h.</td>
<td>Other support staff (e.g., librarians, custodians) are assigned to schools in a way that best reflects student needs for these services.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i.</td>
<td>The district has a teacher placement process that assigns the most effective teachers to schools with the neediest students.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j.</td>
<td>Schools that serve greater percentages of low-income students in our district receive more resources than schools with lower percentages of these students.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k.</td>
<td>Schools that serve greater percentages of low-performing students in our district receive more resources than schools with lower percentages of these students.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l.</td>
<td>Schools that serve greater percentages of low-performing students in our district receive more resources than schools with lower percentages of these students.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I have sufficient autonomy to implement an instructional program that meets the needs of the students in my school.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m.</td>
<td>I have sufficient autonomy to implement an innovative program in my school.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n.</td>
<td>I believe funds are equitably allocated to schools within our district.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o.</td>
<td>I feel that I receive adequate support from the central office to develop my school’s budget.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.</td>
<td>I feel that I have the resources I need to try new things in my school.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Sub Question</td>
<td>Potential Responses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please indicate the type of site you work at. If you work at more than one site, please select your primary site.</td>
<td></td>
<td>9-12 grade site (also includes 6-12 and 7-12 sites)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle grades site (3-6, 5-8, 6-8, 7-8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Preschool through 2, 4 or 5 site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Preschool through 6 site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Preschool through 8 site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Preschool -K site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dependent charter site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>An adult education site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not at a school site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not sure how to answer this question</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please select your school site from the list of 9-12 sites below:

| Foothill High School | Grant Union High School | Highlands High School | Rio Linda High School | Keema | Pacific High School | Vista Nueva | Miles P Richmond | Other | Comment |

Please select your school site from the list of middle grade sites below:

| Harmon Johnson | Foothill Ranch Middle School | Rio Linda Preparatory Academy | Rio Tierra Junior High | Norwood Junior High | Martin Luther King Jr. Technology Academy | NOVA Opportunity School | Other | Comment |
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| Please select your school site from the list of preschool through 2/4/5 sites below: | Noralto  
| --- | ---  
| Woodridge  
| Strauch  
| Regency Park  
| Dry Creek  
| Other  
| Comment  |
| Please select your school site from the list of preschool through 6th grade sites below: | Allison  
| Babcock  
| Castori  
| Del Paso Heights  
| Fairbanks  
| Foothill Oaks  
| Frontier  
| Garden Valley  
| Hagginwood  
| Hillsdale  
| Madison  
| Northwood  
| Sierra View  
| Westside  
| Woodlake  
| Other  
| Comment  |
| Please select your school site from the list of preschool through 8th grade sites below: | F C Joyce  
| Kohler  
| Oakdale  
| Orchard  
| Pioneer  
| Ridgepoint  
| Village  
| Other  
| Comment  |
| Please select your school site from the list of preschool/kinder sites below: | Morey Ave  
| Vineland  
| Other  
| Comment  |
Please select your school site from the list of dependent charter sites below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Description</th>
<th>School Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Creative Connections preschool-5 site</td>
<td>Creative Connections 6-12 site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastside Campus of WPCS</td>
<td>Frontier Campus of WPCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westside Campus of WPCS</td>
<td>Smythe Academy preschool-6 site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smythe Academy 7-8 site</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Comment</td>
<td>Comment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How would you classify your position at this school during this school year? (Although more than one category may apply, please choose the one that best fits your current employment situation.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position Description</th>
<th>Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regular full-time or part-time teacher</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itinerant teacher (I teach at more than one school)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term or short term teaching substitute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular full-time or part-time classified employee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itinerant classified employee (I work at more than one site)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term or Short-term classified substitute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional Paraeducator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership position - administrator, manager, or supervisor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other support role at a site (nurse, counselor, RSP, speech therapist)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you hold any of the following positions? (Mark all that apply.)</td>
<td>Academic Coach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BTSA mentor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lead teacher/department chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>School site council member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Another leadership position.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Teacher in charge/Assistant to the principal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>None of these</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Do you feel like you have influence over the following areas in your classroom/site this year? | Use of time |
| | No influence |
| | Minor influence |
| | Moderate influence |
| | A great deal of influence |
| Curriculum | No influence |
| | Minor influence |
| | Moderate influence |
| | A great deal of influence |
| Instructional materials, strategies or approaches | No influence |
| | Minor influence |
| | Moderate influence |
| | A great deal of influence |
| Parent involvement | No influence |
| | Minor influence |
| | Moderate influence |
| | A great deal of influence |
| Partnerships with outside organizations | No influence |
| | Minor influence |
| | Moderate influence |
| | A great deal of influence |
| Student supports | No influence |
| | Minor influence |
| | Moderate influence |
| | A great deal of influence |
| Use of data | No influence |
| | Minor influence |
| | Moderate influence |
| | A great deal of influence |
| Student assessment | No influence |
| | Minor influence |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use of technology</th>
<th>Moderate influence</th>
<th>A great deal of influence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No influence</td>
<td>Minor influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A great deal of influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types of support staff (learning ocaches, paraprofessionals, vice principals, etc.)</td>
<td>No influence</td>
<td>Minor influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A great deal of influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1-2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3-5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6-10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11 or more years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Comment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prior to this school year, how many years have you worked in any position in this district (including precursor districts)?

Prior to this school year, how many years have you been employed at this or any other school, department, or district?

If you have changed schools or departments since last school year, which of the following reasons reflect your reasons for moving to this school? (Please check all that apply.)

- Did not change schools
- Pay incentives
- Personal reasons
- I got assigned here. I did not choose to work at this school or department
- Other
- Comment
| Determining the content of in-service professional development for teachers | State department of education or other state-level bodies | #1 Influencer for this activity  
#2 Influencer for this activity  
#3 Influencer for this activity  
Not in the top 3 for influencing this activity  
| Local school board | #1 Influencer for this activity  
#2 Influencer for this activity  
#3 Influencer for this activity  
Not in the top 3 for influencing this activity  
| School district staff | #1 Influencer for this activity  
#2 Influencer for this activity  
#3 Influencer for this activity  
Not in the top 3 for influencing this activity  
| Principal | #1 Influencer for this activity  
#2 Influencer for this activity  
#3 Influencer for this activity  
Not in the top 3 for influencing this activity  
| Teachers | #1 Influencer for this activity  
#2 Influencer for this activity  
#3 Influencer for this activity  
Not in the top 3 for influencing this activity  
| Curriculum specialists | #1 Influencer for this activity  
#2 Influencer for this activity  
#3 Influencer for this activity |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Site Council</th>
<th>#1 Influencer for this activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#2 Influencer for this activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#3 Influencer for this activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not in the top 3 for influencing this activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent association</td>
<td>#1 Influencer for this activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#2 Influencer for this activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#3 Influencer for this activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not in the top 3 for influencing this activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers' union</td>
<td>#1 Influencer for this activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#2 Influencer for this activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#3 Influencer for this activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not in the top 3 for influencing this activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Deciding how school budgets will be spent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>#1 influencer over this activity</th>
<th>#2 influencer over this activity</th>
<th>#3 influencer over this activity</th>
<th>Not in the top 3 for influencing this activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State department of education or other state-level bodies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local school board</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School district staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum specialists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>#1 influencer over this activity</td>
<td>#2 influencer over this activity</td>
<td>#3 influencer over this activity</td>
<td>Not in the top 3 for influencing this activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Site Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers' union</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scheduling of instructional time at schools (i.e., length of the day, after school programming, or allocation of time among subjects or class periods)</strong></td>
<td><strong>State department of education or other state-level bodies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#1 influencer over this activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#2 influencer over this activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#3 influencer over this activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not in the top 3 for influencing this activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Local school board</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#1 influencer over this activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#2 influencer over this activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#3 influencer over this activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not in the top 3 for influencing this activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>School district staff</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#1 influencer over this activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#2 influencer over this activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#3 influencer over this activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not in the top 3 for influencing this activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Principal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#1 influencer over this activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#2 influencer over this activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#3 influencer over this activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not in the top 3 for influencing this activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Teachers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#1 influencer over this activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#2 influencer over this activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#3 influencer over this activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not in the top 3 for influencing this activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Curriculum specialists</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#1 influencer over this activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#2 influencer over this activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#3 influencer over this activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not in the top 3 for influencing this activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Rank 1 Influencer</td>
<td>Rank 2 Influencer</td>
<td>Rank 3 Influencer</td>
<td>Not in Top 3 for Influencing This Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Site Council</td>
<td>#1 influencer over this activity</td>
<td>#2 influencer over this activity</td>
<td>#3 influencer over this activity</td>
<td>Not in the top 3 for influencing this activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent association</td>
<td>#1 influencer over this activity</td>
<td>#2 influencer over this activity</td>
<td>#3 influencer over this activity</td>
<td>Not in the top 3 for influencing this activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers' union</td>
<td>#1 influencer over this activity</td>
<td>#2 influencer over this activity</td>
<td>#3 influencer over this activity</td>
<td>Not in the top 3 for influencing this activity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| To what extent do you feel teachers are held accountable for student success to the following groups? | To the principal | Not at all accountable  
| | | Somewhat accountable  
| | | Very accountable  
| | **To parents/guardians of students in your school** | Not at all accountable  
| | | Somewhat accountable  
| | | Very accountable  
| | **To students** | Not at all accountable  
| | | Somewhat accountable  
| | | Very accountable  
| | **To the general community** | Not at all accountable  
| | | Somewhat accountable  
| | | Very accountable  
| | **To the district** | Not at all accountable  
| | | Somewhat accountable  
| | | Very accountable  
| | **To your school's school site council** | Not at all accountable  
| | | Somewhat accountable  
| | | Very accountable  

| To what extent do you feel principals are held accountable for student success to the following groups? | To the district | Not at all accountable  
| | | Somewhat accountable  
| | | Very accountable  
| | **To parents/guardians of students in your school** | Not at all accountable  
| | | Somewhat accountable  
| | | Very accountable  
| | **To teachers in your school** | Not at all accountable  
| | | Somewhat accountable  
| | | Very accountable  
| | **To the general community** | Not at all accountable  
| | | Somewhat accountable  
| | | Very accountable  
| | **To your school's school site council** | Not at all accountable  
| | | Somewhat accountable  
| | | Very accountable  
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey Question</th>
<th>Accountability to:</th>
<th>Not at all accountable</th>
<th>Somewhat accountable</th>
<th>Very accountable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To what extent do you feel the classified staff at a school are held accountable for student success to the following groups?</td>
<td>To the district</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To parents/guardians of students in your school</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To teachers in your school</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To the general community</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To your school's school site council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To the principal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To what extent do you feel the support staff at a school (nurses, counselors, RSP) are held accountable for student success to the following groups?</td>
<td>To the principal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To the district</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To parents/guardians at your school</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To the general community</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To your school's school site council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To what extent do you agree with the following statements?</td>
<td>I believe funds are equitably allocated to schools within our district.</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Schools that serve greater percentages of low-income students in our district receive more resources than schools</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
with lower percentages of these students.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schools that serve greater percentages of English learners in our district receive more resources than schools with lower percentages of these students.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I feel that I have the resources I need to try new things in my classroom or in my job.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teachers have sufficient autonomy to implement an instructional program that meets the needs of my students.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I understand my school’s goals and strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I understand how my school decides on budget priorities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School budget decisions are aligned with our school’s goals and strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comment
To what extent do you agree with the following statements? (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teachers and staff have the opportunity to provide input into developing and spending the budget at schools</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I understand how resources (staff, funds, etc.) are allocated to schools.</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School administration’s behavior towards the staff is supportive and encouraging.</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am satisfied with my salary.</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necessary materials such as textbooks, supplies, and copy machines are available as needed by the staff.</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I worry about the security of my job because of the performance of students on state and/or local tests.</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications about district strategies for improving student achievement are clear.</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I understand the financial condition of the district.</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Twin Rivers Unified School District is headed in the right direction</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statement</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am proud to tell people that I work for Twin Rivers USD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I feel respected for the work I do at this school or site.</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The district provides adequate training and professional development for me to do my job successfully</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The formal (contractual) evaluation process is a useful tool for improving my work.</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This school or site is a supportive and inviting place for staff to work.</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools' goals for student achievement are clear and well defined</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The site administrators deal with student conflicts in a fair manner</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The site administrators make student academic achievement their top priority.</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The site administrators make sure that teachers and staff have the necessary training and resources to do my job</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty and staff work in teams regularly to pursue school goals.</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statement</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My collaboration with colleagues has had a powerful impact on my growth as an employee/teacher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers at schools collaborate regularly with colleagues to plan instruction and review assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers and staff at schools feel a responsibility to improve the school.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As an employee, these factors motivate/would motivate me to go above and beyond to support and educate our students:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor or other administrator acknowledge my efforts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My colleagues support each other and create a sense of &quot;family&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents, students, or community members express their support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I see the difference I make in young people's lives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial compensation for extra duties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On a scale of 1 to 5, please rate the importance of each of the following characteristics in a new superintendent for our district (1 indicates less important, 5 indicates very important)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Committed to building positive relationships</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Believes in the use of instructional and administrative technology</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has experience with an ethnically and culturally diverse community</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Able to work with legislators</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earned Ed.D. or Ph.D. degree</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspires trust</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective speaking, listening and writing skills</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is visible in the community</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willing to listen to input, but can make a tough or unpopular decision when</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necessary</td>
<td>Most Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to work cooperatively with the Board of Education</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Most Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Additional qualities—On a scale of 1 to 5, please rate the importance of each of the following characteristics in a new superintendent for our district (1 indicates less important, 5 indicates very important)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ability to build consensus and commitment among individuals and groups</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solicits and values input from stakeholders as to effectiveness of processes and programs</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Values parent input and participation</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promotes constructive relationships with employee representative groups/unions</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committed to providing regular communication to employees, parents and the community</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committed to a &quot;student first&quot; philosophy in all decisions</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committed to the planning, implementation, and assessment of relevant professional development for all staff members</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior experience as a classroom teacher</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior experience as a superintendent</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to nurture and maintain a positive relationship between the business community and the school district</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Least Important</td>
<td>Most Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional qualities</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involved and connected in external professional/networking organizations</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Important</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge of emerging research and best practices in the area of curriculum/instructional design and practice</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Important</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge of current education policy issues</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Important</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committed to meeting the individual needs of all students</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Important</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is committed to sound fiscal management that addresses both the short- and long-term educational missions, goals and objectives</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Important</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledgeable about finance, budgeting and allocation of resources</td>
<td>Least Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Important</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2010-11 Survey for TRUSD Teachers

Note: The following items were added to an existing TRUSD teacher survey.
1. How would you classify your position at this school during this school year?
   - Regular full-time teacher
   - Regular part-time teacher
   - Itinerant teacher (I teach at more than one school)
   - Long-term substitute
   - Short-term substitute
   - Student teacher
   - Teacher aide

2. Do you hold any of the following positions? (Mark all that apply.)
   - Academic coach
   - BTSA mentor
   - Lead teacher/department chair
   - School site council member
   - Another leadership position. Explain ____________________________

3. Prior to this school year, how many years have you been a teacher at this or any other school?
   - None
   - 1-2 years
   - 3-5 years
   - 6-10 years
   - 11 or more years

4. Prior to this school year, how many years have you been a teacher at this school?
   - None
   - 1-2 years
   - 3-5 years
   - 6-10 years
   - 11 or more years
5. Prior to this school year, how many years have you worked in any position in this district?
   □ None
   □ 1-2 years
   □ 3-5 years
   □ 6-10 years
   □ 11 or more years

6. If you have changed schools since last school year, which of the following reasons reflect your reasons for moving to this school? (Please mark all that apply.)
   □ Did not change schools
   □ Pay incentives
   □ Personal reasons
   □ I got assigned here. I did not choose to work at this school.
   □ Other. Please specify ___________________________

7. How much actual control do you have in your classroom at this school over the following areas?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No control</th>
<th>Minor control</th>
<th>Moderate control</th>
<th>A great deal of control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Selecting textbooks and other instructional materials</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Selecting content, topics, and skills to be taught</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Selecting teaching techniques</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Evaluating and grading students</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Disciplining students</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. Please rank in order THE TOP THREE (1-3) groups below by the influence you think each group or person has on decisions concerning the following activities. A rank of 1 means most influence over this activity.

For each activity, please rank only the top 3 groups, and leave the others blank.

a. Establishing curriculum at this school
   — State department of education or other state-level bodies
   — Local school board
   — School district staff
   — Principal
   — Teachers
   — Curriculum specialists
   — School Site Council
   — Parent association
   — Teachers’ union

b. Determining the content of in-service professional development programs for teachers of this school
   — State department of education or other state-level bodies
   — Local school board
   — School district staff
   — Principal
   — Teachers
   — Curriculum specialists
   — School Site Council
   — Parent association
   — Teachers’ union

c. Evaluating teachers of this school
   — State department of education or other state-level bodies
   — Local school board
   — School district staff
   — Principal
   — Teachers
   — Curriculum specialists
   — School Site Council
   — Parent association
   — Teachers’ union
d. **Hiring new teachers at this school**
   - State department of education or other state-level bodies
   - Local school board
   - School district staff
   - Principal
   - Teachers
   - Curriculum specialists
   - School Site Council
   - Parent association
   - Teachers’ union

e. **Deciding how your school budget will be spent**
   - State department of education or other state-level bodies
   - Local school board
   - School district staff
   - Principal
   - Teachers
   - Curriculum specialists
   - School Site Council
   - Parent association
   - Teachers’ union

f. **Scheduling of instructional time at your school (i.e., length of the day or allocation of time among subjects or class periods)**
   - State department of education or other state-level bodies
   - Local school board
   - School district staff
   - Principal
   - Teachers
   - Curriculum specialists
   - School Site Council
   - Parent association
   - Teachers’ union
9. **To what extent do you feel teachers are held accountable for student success to the following groups?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not at all accountable</th>
<th>Somewhat accountable</th>
<th>Very accountable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To the principal</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To parents/guardians of students in your school</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To students</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To the general community</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. **To what extent do you feel the principal of your school is accountable for student success to the following groups?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not at all accountable</th>
<th>Somewhat accountable</th>
<th>Very accountable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The district</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To parents/guardians of students in your school</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To teachers in your school</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To the general community</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To your school’s school site council</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 11. To what extent do you agree with the following statements?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. I believe funds are equitably allocated to schools within our district.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Principals are assigned equitably to schools in our district.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Teachers are assigned equitably to schools in our district.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Students are assigned equitably to schools in our district.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Other support staff (e.g., librarians, custodians) are assigned to schools in a way that best reflects student needs for these services.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Schools that serve greater percentages of low-income students in our district receive more resources than schools with lower percentages of these students.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Schools that serve greater percentages of low-performing students in our district receive more resources than schools with lower percentages of these students.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Schools that serve greater percentages of English learners in our district receive more resources than schools with lower percentages of these students.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. I feel that I have the resources I need to try new things in my classroom.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j. I have sufficient autonomy to implement an instructional program that meets the needs of my students.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k. Teachers have the opportunity to provide input into developing and spending the budget at this school.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. I understand how resources (staff, funds, etc.) are allocated to my school.

2. The school administration’s behavior toward the staff is supportive and encouraging.

3. I am satisfied with my teaching salary.

4. Necessary materials such as textbooks, supplies, and copy machines are available as needed by the staff.

5. I worry about the security of my job because of the performance of my students on state and/or local tests.

12. Have you tried anything new in your classroom this year in the following areas? *(Check all that apply.)*

- Use of time
- Curriculum
- Instructional materials
- Instructional strategies or approaches
- Parent involvement
- Partnerships with external organizations
- Student supports
- Use of data
- Student assessment
- Use of technology
About the SSFR School Site Council Survey

Purpose of the study

The American Institutes for Research (AIR) is conducting a study of the Strategic School Funding for Results (SSFR) Initiative in two school districts: Los Angeles Unified School District and Twin Rivers Unified School District. This project is funded by the U.S. Department of Education Institute for Education Sciences.

Purpose of the survey

This annual survey will help us understand how school and district policies and your role and practices as a School Site Council member change over time as this Initiative is rolled out, if at all.

Confidentiality

Your answers to the questions in this survey will be kept strictly confidential. Results from this survey will never be presented in a way that would permit any response to be associated with a specific school or individual. Only aggregate results - not individual responses - will be provided to Twin Rivers district staff. We will present findings such as, “62 percent of SSC respondents reported having significant influence over spending their school’s budget.”

Benefits

Your participation gives you the opportunity to share information about your experiences with the SSFR Initiative on the School Site Council. Confidential, aggregate feedback based on the results of this survey will be provided to district leaders. This will provide district leaders with information about SSC experiences with this Initiative. The district’s goal is to use this information to improve the SSFR Initiative.
Freedom to withdraw

Your participation in this survey is voluntary. You have the right to stop participating at any time without penalty. However, we encourage you to participate, as your input is critical to understanding how SSFR policies are affecting schools and SSCs.

Risks and discomforts

There are no foreseeable risks associated with participation other than the inadvertent release of the data. However, to minimize this risk, there will be no names included on surveys, and you are being asked to place your survey into an envelope with all other surveys to return directly to AIR so no one at Twin Rivers can see your responses when you turn it in. At AIR, surveys will be seen only by authorized project staff, entered into a secure database, and hard copies will be stored in a locked cabinet.

More information

If you have any questions or would like further information about this survey, please contact Lisa Cruz at 650-843-8272 or lcruz@air.org. For questions regarding your rights as a participant in this study, you may contact AIR’s IRB chair at IRB@air.org or 1-800-634-0797.

If you decide to complete and return this survey, you are indicating that you understand and agree to the above.

Thank you for your participation!
1. Which of the following describes your school’s SSFR status?

- [ ] Cohort 1 pilot
- [ ] Cohort 2 pilot
- [ ] Cohort 3 pilot
- [ ] I don’t know

2. What grades does this school serve? (Please circle all that apply.)

K  1  2  3  4
5  6  7  8  9
10 11 12

3. What is your role at this school? (Please select one.)

- [ ] Principal
- [ ] Teacher
- [ ] Other school staff (Specify: ____________________________)
- [ ] Parent
- [ ] Student
- [ ] Other (Specify: ____________________________)
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4. Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The SSC has significant influence over how the dollars in this school’s budget are spent.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Parents and community members (besides SSC members) have adequate opportunity to provide input into developing and spending this school’s budget.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. I believe funds are equitably allocated to schools within our district.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. The budget documents I am provided for SSC review are easy to understand and interpret.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. The principal provides adequate support and information for the SSC to make budget recommendations.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. I understand how resources (staff, funds, etc.) are allocated to my school.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. The school community’s priorities are reflected in the school site plan.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Our principal is held accountable for student performance.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Teachers in our school are held accountable for student performance.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j. The SSC in our school is held accountable for student performance.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k. The principal values the SSC’s recommendations.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Which of the following best describes the level of engagement between the SSC and administrators at this school? (Please select one.)

- ☐ Administrators make key school decisions and inform the SSC.
- ☐ Administrators consult with the SSC about key school decisions; administration makes the final decisions.
- ☐ Administrators and the SSC are involved in two-way communication about key school decisions; administration makes the final decisions.
- ☐ Administrators and the SSC are involved in two-way communication about key school decisions; administration and the SSC make final decisions together.
6. Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. This school is welcoming to parents.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. This school communicates effectively with parents about the progress of their children.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. This school communicates effectively with parents about upcoming school events.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. This school communicates effectively with parents about school budgets and resources.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When you are finished, please insert this survey into the envelope addressed to AIR.

Thank you!
About the SSFR School Site Council Survey

Purpose of the study

The American Institutes for Research (AIR) is conducting a study of the Strategic School Funding for Results (SSFR) Initiative in two school districts: LAUSD and Twin Rivers USD. This project is funded by the U.S. Department of Education, Institute for Education Sciences, and by the Hewlett Foundation.

Purpose of the survey

This survey will help us understand how school and district policies and your role and practices as a School Site Council member change over time as this Initiative is rolled out, if at all.

Confidentiality

Your answers to the questions in this survey will be kept strictly confidential. Results from this survey will never be presented in a way that would permit any response to be associated with a specific school or individual. AIR staff will have access to individual data, but we will not know who each respondent is. Only aggregate results— not individual responses—will be provided to Twin Rivers district staff. For example, we will tell Twin Rivers how many pilot school SSC members agree with a certain statement compared to how many of those at non-pilot schools.

Benefits

Your participation gives you the opportunity to share information about your experiences with the SSFR Initiative on the School Site Council. Confidential, aggregate feedback based on the results of this survey will be provided to district leaders. This will provide district leaders with accurate and complete information about SSC experiences with this Initiative. The district’s goal is to use this information to improve the SSFR Initiative.
Freedom to withdraw

Your participation in this survey is voluntary. You have the right to stop participating at any time without penalty. However, we encourage you to participate, as your input is critical to understanding how SSFR policies are affecting schools and SSCs.

Risks and discomforts

There are no foreseeable risks associated with participation other than the inadvertent release of the data. However, to minimize this risk, there will be no names included on surveys, and you are being asked to place your survey into an envelope with all other surveys to return directly to AIR so no one at Twin Rivers can see your responses when you turn it in. At AIR, surveys will be seen only by authorized project staff and then stored in a locked cabinet.

More information

If you have any questions or would like further information about this survey, please contact Karen Manship at 650-843-8198 or kmanship@air.org. For questions regarding your rights as a participant in this study, you may contact AIR’s IRB chair at IRB@air.org or 1-800-634-0797.

If you decide to complete and return this survey, you are indicating that you understand and agree to the above.

Thank you for your participation!
Please answer each question below.

1. Is your school an SSFR pilot school?
   - ☐ Yes
   - ☐ No

2. Is your school a charter school?
   - ☐ Yes
   - ☐ No

3. Is your school an alternative school?
   - ☐ Yes
   - ☐ No

4. What grades does this school serve? (Please select all that apply.)
   - ☐ K-3
   - ☐ 4-5
   - ☐ 6
   - ☐ 7-8
   - ☐ 9-12

5. What is your role at this school?
   - ☐ Principal
   - ☐ Teacher
   - ☐ Other school staff (Specify: ____________________________)
   - ☐ Parent
   - ☐ Student
   - ☐ Other (Specify: ____________________________)
6. Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The SSC has significant influence over how <em>district dollars</em> are spent at this school.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The SSC has significant influence over how the dollars in <em>this school's budget</em> are spent.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. The SSC has significant influence over how <em>categorical funds</em> are spent.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Parents and community members (besides SSC members) have adequate opportunity to provide input into developing and spending this school's budget.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. I believe funds are equitably allocated to schools within our district.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. The budget documents I am provided for SSC review are easy to understand and interpret.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. The principal provides adequate support and information for the SSC to make budget recommendations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. I understand how resources (staff, funds, etc.) are allocated to my school.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Our principal is held accountable for student performance.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j. Teachers in our school are held accountable for student performance.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k. The SSC in our school is held accountable for student performance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l. This school is welcoming to parents.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m. This school communicates effectively with parents about the progress of their children.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n. This school communicates effectively with parents about upcoming school events.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o. This school communicates effectively with parents about school budgets and resources.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p. The principal values the SSC's recommendations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. Have you received any training about budgeting/resource allocation?

☐ Yes    ☐ No

a. If yes, To what extent do you agree with this statement?:

_The training I received was sufficient to help the SSC allocate funds at this school._

☐ Strongly agree    ☐ Agree    ☐ Disagree    ☐ Strongly disagree
When you are finished, please insert this survey face down into the envelope addressed to AIR.

Thank you!
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2013 SSFR district interview protocol for TRUSD central office staff regarding change in SSFR status

1. [If applicable]: What has your role been in the SSFR reform process, if any?
2. Do you feel that you have a clear understanding of SSFR?
3. What aspects of SSFR seemed to be working well in the district? Please share any evidence or perceptions you may have to support your statements.
4. What did you see as the benefits to continuing SSFR?
5. What aspects of SSFR did not seem to be working well in the district? Please share any evidence or perceptions you may have to support your statements.
6. What did you see as the challenges or reasons not to continue SSFR?
   a. PROMPTS
      i. In what ways was SSFR improving equity or not improving equity?
      ii. To what extent was resource allocation more transparent because of SSFR?
         1. If it was, please cite examples of how resource allocation became more transparent.
         2. If it was not, please explain why you believe a more transparent resource allocation process was not achieved.
      iii. In what ways did SSFR provide autonomy at the school site?
      iv. How were schools being held accountable for results fiscal and student performance results?
      v. Do you feel the schools received thorough reviews of the content of their academic plans derived from the SSFR budgeting process? If so, how were these reviews done?
      vi. Did you detect changes in innovation at the school or district level because of SSFR? Is so, can you give me some examples?
vii. To what extent did school leaders have or not have sufficient capacity or
time to make resource allocation decisions? Please cite some examples if
possible.**

viii. In what ways were SSC members involved or not involved in site-level
decisions on programs, services, and/or resource allocation?**

ix. Did it seem like SSFR was taking up too much time of central office or
site-level leaders? What aspects of SSFR required most additional time?

x. Did SSFR reveal any specific benefits to improving instructional or
resource allocation decisions?

7. What were the biggest challenges or barriers to implementation of SSFR in the district?

8. What changes could have been made to permit continuation of the SSFR project?

9. To what extent did the current national or California fiscal crisis play a role in the
decision to suspend work on SSFR?
   a. If it did play a role, please explain how the current national or California fiscal
crisis influenced the decision to suspend work on SSFR.

10. What changes do you anticipate in the processes by which resource allocation decisions
    will be made at the central office?
    a. Will Twin Rivers be moving toward a more centralized approach to allocating
       school resources?
    b. Will Twin Rivers stop using the TRM in allocating revenues to school sites?
       i. What specific aspects of the TRM did you like/not like?
       ii. What aspects of the TRM would you have changed and how?

11. What changes do you anticipate in the process by which resource allocation decisions
    will be made at the school sites?
    a. What do you anticipate will be the impact of suspending work by school leaders
       on the PBAR tool?
       i. What specific aspects of PBAR did you like/not like?
       ii. What aspects of the PBAR would you have changed and how?
    b. What control will school leaders have, if any, over staffing decisions at the school
       site? How will this be different from control that they had under SSFR?

12. Is there anything else you would like to add that we haven’t already covered?

**These questions were asked in the interviews with central office staff in the spring of 2012. If
time constraints do not allow asking all questions please skip these questions.
2012 SSFR-BSA interview protocol for principals (both districts)

* = High priority question

**Background**

1. * How long have you been a principal at this school? In this district?

2. In what year did your school first become part of the SSFR reform?

**Goals**

3. * What do you think are the goals for SSFR? [Ask this first and then probe as needed]
   - * What do you see as the primary goals for the way various general or categorical sources of revenues are distributed among schools? [Probe, if necessary:]
     - Flexibility/autonomy of general funds, categorical funds; per-pupil funding, need-based funding; actual teacher salaries
     - Transparency, innovation
   - * What do you see as primary goals for the site level planning and budgeting processes that have been implemented as part of SSFR?
     - Budget timeline; goals-based budgeting; alignment between program plans, budgets, and resource allocation
     - The extent to which schools face the real costs of inputs (e.g., through the use of actual teacher salaries as part of the budgeting process)
     - Transparency, innovation, staff and community engagement

4. [If applicable]: In what ways, if any, have these goals changed since the SSFR reform began?

**Progress/milestones**

5. What progress has been made this year (2011-12) on goals related to the distribution of revenues among schools?
   - What influence has SSFR had on flexibility of general funds? Categorical funds? Equity with which general or categorical funds are distributed to schools?
   - What influence has SSFR had on funding based on per-pupil allocations? Actual teacher salaries?
6. * What progress has been made this year (2011-12) on goals related to the site level planning and budgeting process?
   - *What influence has SSFR had on the budget timeline? On goals-based budgeting? On schools facing the true costs of staff or other inputs (e.g., by costing out teachers based on actual vs. average salaries)?
   - *What, if anything, does/will the new planning and budgeting process allow principals to do that they would not have been able to do otherwise?

7. What progress has been made this year (2011-12) on other SSFR goals?

8. To what extent do you feel that your school’s program plans or goals are aligned with resource allocation?
   - How does SSFR help you align your program plans, goals, and allocation of resources?
   - Would you prefer to see them more aligned?
   - What would it take to get to greater alignment?

9. What do you know about the processes and procedures by which revenues were allocated to your school?
   - Were these procedures appropriate/fair/equitable?
   - If applicable - What would make this tool more useful?
   - If applicable - What is left to be done to implement this process for distributing revenues to schools more fully or effectively?

10. For TRUSD: What progress have you made this year in using the PBAR (Planning, Budgeting, and Allocation of Resources) tool?
    - What would make this tool more useful?
    - What do you see is left to be done to implement PBAR more fully or effectively?

For LAUSD: What progress have you made this year in using the site based budgeting tool?
    - What would make this tool more useful?
    - What do you see is left to be done to implement the site budgeting tool more fully or effectively?

11. * Has the implementation of SSFR enabled you to introduce any new or innovative programs or strategies at your school this year or in any past year?
    - If yes – what have you done, and how were you able to do it?
    - If no – why not?
Capacity and Training/Support

12. *How would you describe the role of the district central office in supporting the alignment of your school’s program plan with resource allocation decisions?*
   - What has the central office done this year (2011-12) to provide professional development training to you or your school around program planning, budgeting, and/or resource allocation?
     - What types of activities have occurred? How many times/how often?
     - Who attends?
     - How would you rate the quality and usefulness of these trainings? Why?
     - What other trainings would you like to see offered?

13. Do you feel that central office staff have adequate preparation and the technical capacity to help you successfully implement the SSFR reforms in your school?
   - If yes, what evidence do you have of this?
   - If no, what kinds of capacity building activities do you think are needed?

14. *Do you feel that you and the other administrators at your school have adequate preparation and the technical capacity to make effective decisions about program planning, budgeting, and resource allocation?*
   - *If yes, what evidence do you have of this?*
   - *If no, what kinds of capacity building activities do you think are needed?*

15. What other resources or supports do you have for program planning, budgeting, and resource allocation—besides from the central office?
   - What resources or supports do you need? Are there any plans to provide these?

16. *Do you feel that teachers and school site council members have adequate preparation and the technical capacity to make effective decisions about program planning, budgeting, and resource allocation?*
   - *If yes, what evidence do you have of this?*
   - *If no, what kinds of capacity building activities do you think are needed?
17. What other resources or supports do teachers and school site councils (SSC) have for program planning, budgeting, and resource allocation—besides from the central office?
   - What resources or supports do you think they need? Are there any plans to provide these?

18. *We know that you have invested a lot of time in SSFR. What proportion of your work time would you estimate that you spend on a weekly basis on work related to SSFR?
   - *What do you spend most of your time on, related to SSFR? (e.g., strategizing, meeting with teachers, etc.)
   - *What activities are you no longer doing because of the time required for carrying out the SSFR related activities? Did these foregone activities get delegated to other staff?
   - *What other staff are helping you with your SSFR related duties and about how much of their time is required to carry these duties out?

**Communication**

19. What communication have you received from the central office this year (2011-12) with regard to SSFR?
   - Was that communication adequate and helpful?

20. Do you feel that central office staff [in TRUSD say “network executives and other budget staff” and in LAUSD say “fiscal coordinators and staff who help with academic planning”] have a clear understanding of SSFR?
   - *If no, what do they know? What don’t they know?
   - What perceptions or misperceptions do they have?
   - What do you wish they knew?

21. *Do you feel that you have a clear understanding of SSFR? Why or why not?

22. *Do you feel that teachers, school site council members, parents, and community members have a clear understanding of SSFR?
   - *If no, what do they know? What don’t they know?
   - What perceptions or misperceptions do they have?
   - What do you wish they knew?
**Transparency and involvement**

23. In what ways, if any, do you feel that the way revenues are distributed to your school is a transparent process?
   - *How does this level of transparency compare to the process prior to SSFR?*
   - *What is the most transparent? What is the least transparent?*

24. * In what ways are members of your school community involved in the budgeting and program planning process at your school? How would you describe their role and involvement?
   - *What members of the school community (e.g., teachers, other faculty, parents, students, other community members at large) are involved in budgeting, program planning, and budgeting at your school?*
   - *What have you done to involve the community in the program planning and budgeting process at your school?*
   - *What value do you feel is added (or could be added) with the community’s involvement in this process?*
   - *What limitations, if any, do you see in involving the community in these decisions?*
   - *Are there any plans in place to increase community involvement in this process?*

**General reflection on SSFR**

25. What are the biggest steps the district has taken this year (2011-12) to move SSFR forward?
   - *Probed for specific examples.*
   - *What have been major “wins”?*

26. What are the biggest steps you have taken this year (2011-12) to move SSFR forward?
   - *Probed for specific examples.*
   - *What have been major “wins”?*
   - *What are you most proud of about SSFR this year?*
27. *What do you feel are the biggest challenges or barriers to successful SSFR implementation?
   
   - Probe on: funding and resource allocation; planning and budgeting process; professional development; communication; transparency; community involvement

28. What most concerns or disappoints you about SSFR?

29. *What kinds of accountability mechanisms, if any, have been implemented in conjunction with SSFR?
   
   - If applicable - What kinds of mechanisms were put in place and were they not implemented?

30. How do you balance compliance and flexibility when you make budgeting and planning decisions with SSFR?

31. What do you see as critical next steps moving forward?

32. *Do you feel there are any district- or state-level policies that create barriers to SSFR implementation and achieving SSFR goals?
   
   - *If yes, which policies? What is the implication of these policies for SSFR? What would you like to see changed about this policy?
     - State categorical program restrictions
     - State and/or federal accountability policies
     - Small school, comprehensive schools
     - Open enrollment
     - Curriculum and/or standards policies
     - District hiring, placement, tenure policies; union collective bargaining agreements
     - Other?
   
   - *Have you been able to find ways of surmounting any of these barriers?

33. *What are some major lessons you have learned this year (2011-12) that can help other principals who are considering implementing a reform like SSFR?
2012 SSFR district interview protocol (TRUSD)

Goals

2. [If applicable]: What has your role been in the SSFR reform process?

3. What are the district’s goals for SSFR?
   - What do you see as the primary goals for funding allocation decisions?
     - Probe, if necessary:
       - Flexibility/autonomy of general funds, categorical funds; per-pupil funding, need-based funding; actual teacher salaries
       - Transparency, innovation
   - What do you see as primary goals for the planning and budgeting process?
     - Budget timeline; goals-based budgeting; alignment between program plans, budgets, and resource allocation
     - Transparency, innovation, staff and community engagement

4. To what extent have these goals changed since the SSFR reform began?

Progress/milestones

5. What progress has been made this year (2011-12) on goals related to funding allocation decisions? What key milestones have been reached?
   - What influence has SSFR had on flexibility of general funds? Categorical funds?
   - What influence has SSFR had on funding based on per-pupil allocations? Actual teacher salaries?

6. To what extent are funding allocation decisions different this year as compared to last year?
   - To what would you attribute those changes or lack of changes?

7. What progress has been made this year (2011-12) on goals related to the planning and budgeting process? What key milestones have been reached?
   - What influence has SSFR had on the budget timeline? Goals-based budgeting?
   - What, if anything, does/will the new planning and budgeting process allow principals to do that they would not have been able to do otherwise?

8. To what extent has the planning and budgeting process changed since last year?
   - To what would you attribute those changes or lack of changes?
9. For TRUSD:
8A: What progress has been made this year in using the TRM (Targeted Revenue Model) and PBAR (Planning, Budgeting, and Allocation of Resources) tools?

8B: What do you see is left to be done to implement these tools fully or more effectively in the district?

For LAUSD:
8A: What approaches has the district used this past year to distribute revenues or resources to schools? Have student needs been directly addressed in this approach? What do you envision are the next steps in implementing a revenue distribution tool in the district?

8B: How would you describe the site based budgeting tool used in the district during 2011-12 in preparation for 2013? What do you envision are the next steps in implementing a new site based budgeting tool?

10. What progress has been made this year (2011-12) on other SSFR goals? What key milestones have been reached?
   - To what extent do you feel that schools’ program plans are aligned with resource allocation?

Capacity

11. Do you feel that central office staff have adequate preparation and the technical capacity to successfully implement the SSFR reforms?
   - If yes, what evidence do you have of this?
   - If no, what kinds of capacity building activities do you think are important?

12. Do you feel that principals, teachers, and school site council members have adequate preparation and the technical capacity to make effective decisions about program planning, budgeting, and resource allocation?
   - If yes, what evidence do you have of this?
   - If no, what kinds of capacity building activities do you think are important?

13. We know that you have invested a lot of time in SSFR. How much time would you estimate that you spend on a weekly basis on work related to SSFR?
• About how many hours a week do you work total? About what proportion of your time do you spend on SSFR?

• What do you spend most of your time on, related to SSFR? (e.g., strategizing, meeting with principals, etc.)

14. Who else would you say are key contributors to SSFR implementation in the central office? In the schools?

• About how much time do they spend related to SSFR?

• Why are they key?

**Professional development training and support**

15. How would you describe the role of the district central office in supporting the alignment of schools’ program plan with resource allocation decisions?

• What has the central office done this year (2011-12) to provide PD training to school sites around program planning, budgeting, and/or resource allocation?
  o Who organizes and facilitates PD training activities?
  o What types of activities have occurred? How many times/how often?
  o Who attends? How many people attend?
  o What feedback – if any – have you received from staff members who have attended these PD trainings?

16. What other resources or supports do principals, school site councils (SSC), and teachers have for program planning, budgeting, and resource allocation—besides from the central office?

• What resources or supports do you think they need? Are there any plans to provide these?

**Communication**

17. What has the central office done this year (2011-12) with regard to communicating about SSFR?

• What is the district’s communication strategy about SSFR reforms?

• Who organizes and facilitates communication?
• What types of communication methods do you use?
• Who is the target audience for various types of communication?

18. Do you feel that central office staff have a clear understanding of SSFR?
• If no, what do they know? What don’t they know?
• What perceptions or misperceptions do they have?
• What do you wish they knew?

19. Do you feel that principals, teachers, school site council members, parents, and community members have a clear understanding of SSFR?
• If no, what do they know? What don’t they know?
• What perceptions or misperceptions do they have?
• What do you wish they knew?

**Transparency and involvement**

20. One of the goals of SSFR is to make district funding to schools a more transparent process. What progress has been made this year (2011-12) in terms of transparency?
• To what degree do you believe your current process is transparent to:
  ○ Board members? Principals? Teachers or other school faculty? Parents? Community members?
• What has been done to increase transparency?
• What remains to be done to increase transparency?
• What successes or failures have you encountered in attempting to increase transparency?

21. Is the school community (teachers, other faculty, parents, students, other community members) involved in the budgeting and program planning process at the school level? How would you describe their role and involvement?
• What members of the school community are involved in budgeting, program planning, and budgeting at the school site?
• What steps has the district taken to involve the community in the program planning and budgeting process at the school level?
• What value do you feel is added (or could be added) with the community’s involvement in this process?
• What limitations, if any, do you see in involving the community in these decisions?
• Are there any plans in place to increase community involvement in this process?
**General reflection on SSFR**

22. To what extent is there an accountability mechanism in place for implementing SSFR?
   - What kinds of mechanisms may have been put in place? If applicable - Why were they not implemented?

23. Do you feel that SSFR has created more of a culture of innovation and efficiency in the way resources are being allocated in the district or in the schools?
   - If so, what changes have you observed that provide evidence of innovation or improved efficiency?
   - If not, why do you think this is so?

24. What are the biggest steps the district has taken this year (2011-12) to move SSFR forward?
   - Probe for specific examples.
   - What have been major “wins”?
   - What are you most proud of about SSFR this year?

25. What have been the biggest challenges or barriers to SSFR implementation this year (2011-12)?
   - Probe on: funding and resource allocation; planning and budgeting process; professional development; communication; transparency; community involvement
   - How were these addressed?
   - Were there any issues you faced that you hadn’t predicted?
     - If yes, how did you address this?
   - Were there any major mistakes that were made?
     - If yes, how did you address this? What might you do to avoid a similar mistake in the future, or to prevent it if you could go back?
   - What most concerns or disappoints you about SSFR this year? What “keeps you up at night”?
26. What do you see as critical next steps moving forward? What do you see as major challenges?

27. Do you feel there are any district- or state-level policies that create barriers to SSFR implementation and achieving SSFR goals?
   • *If yes, which policies? What is the implication of these policies for SSFR? What would you like to see changed about this policy? Do you have any strategies for changing the policy?*
     o State categorical program restrictions
     o State and/or federal accountability policies
     o Small school, comprehensive schools
     o Open enrollment
     o Curriculum and/or standards policies
     o District hiring, placement, tenure policies; union collective bargaining agreements
     o Other?

28. What are some major lessons learned this year (2011-12) that can help other districts who are considering implementing a reform like SSFR?

29. Is there anything else you would like to add that we haven’t already covered?
2010 SSFR-BSA district interview (both districts)

1. Can you tell me a bit about your background and your current role in the district?

2. What services does your department provide to schools? Which of these services are schools required to use and which are optional?

3. How much budgetary discretion do schools in your district have? That is, what proportion of the total school budget do principals have discretion over? Has this changed over time?

4. How do you feel about the current balance between district and school control? Would you like to see the district or schools have more control over school-level expenditures?

5. To what degree do you believe your current process of allocating resources to schools is transparent to board members? To principals? To teachers and other school faculty? To parents?

6. Are there any guiding district-wide strategies for funding allocations?

Probe, if necessary:

- To what extent are funds distributed based on student need?
- When was the need-based funding formula implemented?
- What has been the influence of this policy? Positives, negatives, challenges?
  - What has been the influence on each of the following: professional development, staffing, instruction, technology, student support, student achievement

7. Do you feel that funds are distributed equitably to schools in your district? Why or why not? Has this changed over time?

8. Is the community involved in the budgeting and program planning process at the school level? How would you describe their role and involvement?

Probe, if necessary:

- What steps has the district taken to ensure the community’s involvement in the budgeting process at the school level?
  - Who in the community is involved?
What value do you feel is added (or could be added) with the community’s involvement in the processes of budget allocation and/or program planning?

  o What are the major concerns or needs they have raised?

9. Can you explain how schools develop their site-based academic plans? How would you describe the district’s involvement in this process?

Probes:

  • Does your central office plan and organize technical assistance and/or professional development activities for this process?

  • How does the district ensure that the site-based plans are aligned with the overall academic plan for the district?

10. To what extent do you feel that schools’ academic plans and resource allocation are aligned? How would you describe the role of the district central office in supporting the alignment of the academic plan and resource allocation?

11. Are you or is anyone in the central office currently actively engaged in providing professional development to school sites around budgeting?

Specifically, are there any supports or guidance available to SSFR (pilot) schools?

12. To what extent are principals encouraged or expected to share budget information with their staff?

13. Do you feel that teachers, principals, and school site council members have adequate preparation and the technical capacity to make effective decisions about budgeting/resource allocation? If not, what kinds of capacity building activities do you envision as being important?

14. Does the district currently have any policies in place to encourage effective teachers to teach at higher-needs schools? If so, how are these policies structured? Have they been effective, in your opinion at getting teachers to teach in high needs schools? Do you think they have been effective in raising student achievement? Why or why not?
15. Who make hiring and firing decisions about staff at schools in your district? How much input do principals have? How much input do teachers have? Has this changed in the past year?

- How did this policy come about?
- Do you think this policy allocate teachers equitably across schools? Does this policy allocate principals equitably across schools? Why or why not?

16. Are school principals held accountable for student learning in your district? How is this reflected in your interactions with school principals? What does it mean for school principals to be held accountable for student learning?

17. Are teachers held accountable for student learning in your district? What does it mean for teachers to be held accountable for student learning?

18. Can you think of any notable innovations in instruction, organization, or policy at the schools in your district (that you believe will raise student achievement)?

- What enabled these innovations? (listen for school leadership, SSFR, etc.)

19. What is the district’s strategy for communicating the SSFR reform to schools? Has this strategy been effective? What challenges are you facing?

20. Are there any other related initiatives in the district right now?
- Can you tell me a little bit about them?

21. Are there factors that support the reform?
22. Are there any challenges that you have seen or foresee in school-level implementation of the reform? What is your strategy for dealing with these challenges?
- Probes:
  - State categorical funds
  - District’s hiring policies
  - District’s collective bargaining agreements
  - Student assignment policies
  - State and/or federal accountability policies
  - Curriculum and standards policies

23. Are there any funding challenges you are facing right now in the district?
To what extent do you think these will influence the reform?

24. What do you see as the intended goals for the current budgeting/planning process in your district? Have those goals been achieved?

25. What changes are planned to the district budgeting process?

26. Do you have any other comments about the SSFR initiative?